

# Golf Ranking Determination System

『Complete Method©』  
『Complete Scoring Method©』

SGR LLC  
Copyrights Management Office

# Rules of Golf

The official international Rules of Golf are currently established and globally governed, including their interpretation, by "The R&A," based in St Andrews, Scotland, and the "USGA," based in Liberty Corner, New Jersey, USA.

# Current Tie-Breaking Rules

Ranking based on the number of strokes over 18 holes There are no specific provisions regarding tie-breaking procedures for tied positions; instead, the committee for each competition is responsible for determining the tie-breaking method. For tie-breakers, it is customary to hold a playoff to determine the winner of tour tournaments both domestically and internationally.

\*We are currently proposing the tie-breaking method based on the ranking rules we created under copyright protection to various industry organizations.

\*Under the international Berne Convention, 182 countries, including Japan, adopt the principle of "automatic protection" (non-formality). Consequently, copyright claims can be asserted against member states from the exact moment the work is created.

# Ranking Rules Proposed by Our Company

『 Complete Method©』

[1] Complete Method

『 Complete Scoring Method©』

[2] Complete Scoring Method

[3] Complete Scoring Method – Bunker Excluded Edition

[4] Leverage Complete Scoring Method

- Putt is Money Edition -

[5] Complete Scoring Method

[6] Complete Scoring Method – Bunker Excluded Edition

[7] Leverage Complete Scoring Method

- Shot is Money Edition -

[8] Complete Scoring Method

[9] Complete Scoring Method – Bunker Excluded Edition

[10] Leverage Complete Scoring Method

- Shot is Money Extreme Edition -

[11] Complete Scoring Method

[12] Complete Scoring Method – Bunker Excluded Edition

[13] Leverage Complete Scoring Method

Putting Rates(※)

Putt is Money Edition : 2 times

Standard : 1 times

Shot is Money Edition : 0.5 times

Shot is Money Extreme Edition : 0.1 times

※ This is a coefficient applied to the value obtained by subtracting the total number of putts from the baseline number of putts. A higher multiplier increases the contribution of the putting performance to the final score. Conversely, a lower multiplier mitigates the risk of a score decrease caused by an increased number of putts, which can result from factors such as long putts.

# [1] Complete Method

## Comparison-Based Calculation System

1. **Comparison of gross stroke play scores over 18 holes**
2. **Number of putts on the green**
3. **Number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances**
4. **Number of penalty strokes from all infractions (excluding OB)**
5. **Number of Holes-in-One**
6. **Number of Albatrosses**
7. **Number of Eagles**
8. **Number of Birdies**
9. **Number of Pars**
10. **Number of Bogeys**
11. **Number of Double Bogeys**
12. **Number of Triple Bogeys**
13. **Comparison of the number of holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, sorted in ascending order**
14. **Number of bunker shots**
15. **Countback from the final hole to the starting hole**

*\*The above elements from 1 to 15 will be calculated and compared sequentially.*

# [2] Complete Scoring Method

## Assessment-Based Calculation System

- +/- No. Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)
- + 1 18 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating
  - + 2 The value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)
  - 3 Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances
  - 4 The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)
  - + 5 5 times the number of Holes-in-One
  - + 6 4 times the number of Albatrosses
  - + 7 3 times the number of Eagles
  - + 8 2 times the number of Birdies
  - + 9 The number of Pars
  - 10 The number of Bogeys
  - 11 2 times the number of Double Bogeys
  - 12 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys
  - 13 For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes
  - 14 The number of bunker shots divided by 2

The elements from 1 to 14 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

# [3] Complete Scoring Method – Bunker Excluded Version

## Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 18 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | The value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)                 |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |

The elements from 1 to 13 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

# [4] Leveraged Complete Scoring Method

## Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 72 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | The value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)                 |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |
| -   | 14  | The number of bunker shots divided by 2  |

The elements from 1 to 14 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

## [5] Complete Scoring Method

### Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 18 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | 2 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)         |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |
| -   | 14  | The number of bunker shots divided by 2  |

The elements from 1 to 14 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

## [6] Complete Scoring Method – Bunker Excluded Version

### Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 18 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | 2 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)         |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |

The elements from 1 to 13 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

## [7] Leveraged Complete Scoring Method

### Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 72 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | 2 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)         |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |
| -   | 14  | The number of bunker shots divided by 2  |

The elements from 1 to 14 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

## [8] Complete Scoring Method

### Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 18 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | 0.5 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)       |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |
| -   | 14  | The number of bunker shots divided by 2  |

The elements from 1 to 14 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

## [9] Complete Scoring Method – Bunker Excluded Version

### Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 18 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | 0.5 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)       |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |

The elements from 1 to 13 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

# [10] Leveraged Complete Scoring Method

## Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 72 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | 0.5 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)       |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |
| -   | 14  | The number of bunker shots divided by 2  |

The elements from 1 to 14 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

# [11] Complete Scoring Method

## Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 18 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | 0.1 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)       |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |
| -   | 14  | The number of bunker shots divided by 2  |

The elements from 1 to 14 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

# [12] Complete Scoring Method – Bunker Excluded Version

## Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 18 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | 0.1 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)       |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |

The elements from 1 to 13 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

# [13] Leveraged Complete Scoring Method

## Assessment-Based Calculation System

- | +/- | No. | Evaluation Criteria (Count Elements)   |
|-----|-----|--|
| +   | 1   | 72 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of strokes from the course baseline rating   |
| +   | 2   | 0.1 times the value obtained by subtracting the number of putts on the green from the baseline number of putts (twice the number of holes)       |
| -   | 3   | Twice the number of OB (Out of Bounds) instances   |
| -   | 4   | The number of penalty strokes (excluding OB)   |
| +   | 5   | 5 times the number of Holes-in-One   |
| +   | 6   | 4 times the number of Albatrosses  |
| +   | 7   | 3 times the number of Eagles   |
| +   | 8   | 2 times the number of Birdies  |
| +   | 9   | The number of Pars   |
| -   | 10  | The number of Bogeys   |
| -   | 11  | 2 times the number of Double Bogeys  |
| -   | 12  | 3 times the number of Triple Bogeys  |
| -   | 13  | For all holes completed with a score of +4 or worse, the total sum calculated by multiplying the over-par value by the number of completed holes |
| -   | 14  | The number of bunker shots divided by 2  |

The elements from 1 to 14 above will be totaled to perform the calculation and evaluation.

# Ranking Rules — List of Products (Available Formats) —

## Variations

“Complete Method©”

[1] Complete Method

“Complete Scoring Method©”

- Standard -

[2] Complete Scoring Method

[3] Complete Scoring Method - Bunker Excluded Version

[4] Leverage Complete Scoring Method

- Putt is Money Edition -

[5] Complete Scoring Method

[6] Complete Scoring Method - Bunker Excluded Version

[7] Leverage Complete Scoring Method

- Shot is Money Edition -

[8] Complete Scoring Method

[9] Complete Scoring Method - Bunker Excluded Version

[10] Leverage Complete Scoring Method

- Shot is Money Extreme Edition -

[11] Complete Scoring Method

[12] Complete Scoring Method - Bunker Excluded Version

[13] Leverage Complete Scoring Method

(Handling of Tied Players)

If a tie remains unresolved after applying the rules from [1] to [13] above (hereinafter referred to as "this Method"), rankings will be determined through a playoff (applicable to all players, including those outside the top position). During the playoff, rankings will continue to be determined based on the specific rule adopted above. From the playoff onward, this same Method will be applied repeatedly until all rankings are definitively determined. Through this process, all ties are eliminated, enabling the determination of definitive rankings for all participating players.

## Application to Various Tournament Formats

It is recommended to introduce this Method from the Qualifying Tournament (QT) so that rankings reflect this system from the start of the season. Introducing this Method to the QT ensures that the number of final qualifiers or players earning tour cards remains fixed. For multi-day tournaments, rankings are determined by aggregating the calculated values of each element across the days.

### 1-DAY Tournament

Rankings are determined strictly by this Method.

### 2-DAY Tournament

For the first-day preliminary round, rankings are determined by this Method. The cut line is kept as a fixed number to determine the players advancing to the finals. When utilizing a countback system, comparisons are made in reverse chronological order from the final hole of the first round back to the starting hole until rankings are resolved. For the final round, rankings are also determined using this Method.

### 3-DAY Tournament

Rankings for the 2-day preliminary round are determined by the aggregate values of this Method. The cut line is kept as a fixed number to determine the players advancing to the finals. When utilizing a countback system, comparisons are made in reverse chronological order from the final hole of the second round back to the starting hole of the first round until rankings are resolved. For the final round, rankings are also determined using this Method.

### 4-DAY Tournament

Rankings for the 2-day preliminary round are determined by the aggregate values of this Method. The cut line is kept as a fixed number to determine the players advancing to the finals. When selecting final qualifiers via countback, comparisons are made in reverse chronological order from the final hole of the second round back to the starting hole of the first round until rankings are resolved. For the final round, the aggregate 2-day score is determined by this Method. When utilizing a countback system in the finals, comparisons are made in reverse chronological order from the final hole of the final round back to the starting hole of the third round until rankings are resolved. If a tie cannot be resolved by the above methods, a playoff will be conducted. Rankings during the playoff will also be determined based on this Method.\*Regardless of the tournament duration, to ensure definitive rankings are finalized for all players, a playoff will be conducted for tied positions, including those outside the top position.

## Provisional Rankings & Clubhouse Leader Calculations

Provisional Rankings For both "Complete Scoring Method©" and "Complete Scoring Method©" (standard/variations), provisional rankings are calculated and displayed based on a 1-hole average. Clubhouse Leader The provisional top leader is displayed based on the lowest value obtained by subtracting the total course baseline rating of completed holes from the "total stroke count of completed holes" (hereinafter referred to as the "Total Records"). If multiple provisional leaders are tied under the "Total Records," the provisional leader under the "Complete Scoring Method©" format is determined by comparing all 15 criteria elements to break the tie. If multiple provisional leaders are tied under the "Complete Scoring Method©" (standard/variations) format, rankings are aggregated; therefore, the player with the highest aggregate value becomes the provisional top leader.

## Presentation & Copyright Notice

Prior confirmation regarding the format of use must be established through a formal "Copyright Licensing Agreement. "For any usage accompanied by broadcasting, such as on the Regular Tour or Step Up Tour, the following copyright notice must be displayed during use:"©2026 SGR LLC All Rights Reserved. "Any other display methods must also obtain mandatory prior confirmation before usage.

## Implementation in Qualification Exams

When applied to player proficiency tests (Pro Tests), a key feature is that the number of passing candidates can be strictly fixed to a set quota. Rather than selecting purely based on stroke counts, this system allows for a comprehensive evaluation that reflects various technical elements comprehensively. The same principles apply to round-based testing within teaching qualification exams.

## Legal & Copyright Disclaimer

The tie-breaking methodology utilized in this Method is protected by copyright and all rights belong exclusively to us. \*Please note that any unauthorized use, including partial modifications or use without a formal contract, constitutes a violation of copyright law and may result in legal action.

# Pricing Plans

## Professional Plan

(For Industry Organizations / Governing Bodies)

Non-public / Undisclosed

(Available upon request)

## Golf Course Plan

Categorized by purpose of use:

Open Tournaments (Open Competitions): 200,000 JPY / month

Playing Lessons (Round Lessons): 10% of 100,000 JPY / month

(Alternatively: 100,000 JPY / month

depending on original intent—translated as written: 100,000 JPY / month)

Group Bookings (Corporate Competitions, etc.): 100,000 JPY / month

Regular Bookings (Standard Reservations): 100,000 JPY / month

General Corporate Plan For Internal Corporate Competitions: 720,000 JPY / year

\*Please note that the above prices do not include tax; consumption tax will be charged separately.

\*Terms and conditions regarding the licensing of "Complete Scoring Method©" are subject to negotiation.

# 『Complete Scoring Method©』 Implementation

## CASE(1)

Case: When two players are tied with a stroke score of 68

Player A

Putts (PT): 30

Birdies: 6

Pars: 10

Bogeys: 2

Player B

Putts (PT): 31

Birdies: 7

Pars: 10

Bogeys: 3

When the putting multiplier is not adjusted

Player A  $(72-68) \times 18 + (36-30) + (6 \times 2) + (10 \times 1) - (2 \times 1) = 98$

Player B  $(72-68) \times 18 + (36-31) + (7 \times 2) + (10 \times 1) - (3 \times 1) = 98$

When applying the “Shot is Money Edition” and setting the putting multiplier to 0.5

Player A  $(72-68) \times 18 + (36-30) \times 0.5 + (6 \times 2) + (10 \times 1) - (2 \times 1) = 95$

Player B  $(72-68) \times 18 + (36-31) \times 0.5 + (7 \times 2) + (10 \times 1) - (3 \times 1) = 95.5$

Conclusion In this case, when applying the Complete Scoring Method©, Player B ranks higher. The player with the higher total score is ranked above the other. OB, penalty strokes, and bunker shots are all assumed to be zero.

# CASE(2)

Case: When two players are tied with a stroke score of 77, with the following OB and penalty counts

## Player A

Putts (PT): 30

OB: 1

Penalty: 0

Birdies: 4

Pars: 5

Bogeys: 9

$$(72-77) \times 18 - (1 \times 2) - (0 \times 1) + (36-30) + (4 \times 2) + (5 \times 1) - (9 \times 1) = -82$$

## Player B

Putts (PT): 30

OB: 1

Penalty: 1

Birdies: 4

Pars: 5

Bogeys: 9

$$(72-77) \times 18 - (1 \times 2) - (1 \times 1) + (36-30) + (4 \times 2) + (5 \times 1) - (9 \times 1) = -83$$

## Conclusion

In this case, when applying the **Complete Scoring Method**®, **Player A** ranks higher.

The player with the **higher total score** is ranked above the other.

# CASE(3)

Case: Comparison between stroke scores 125 and 126, with the following OB and penalty counts

## Player A

Stroke: 125

Putts (PT): 45

OB: 10

Penalty: 1

Birdies: 0

Pars: 1

Bogeys: 4

Double Bogeys: 6

Triple Bogeys: 7

$$(72-125) \times 18 + (36-45) - (10 \times 2) - (1 \times 1) + (0 \times 2) + (1 \times 1) - (4 \times 1) - (6 \times 2) - (7 \times 3) = -1020$$

## Player B

Stroke: 126

Putts (PT): 45

OB: 0

Penalty: 0

Birdies: 0

Pars: 1

Bogeys: 4

Double Bogeys: 6

Triple Bogeys: 7

$$(72-126) \times 18 + (36-45) - (0 \times 2) - (0 \times 1) + (0 \times 2) + (1 \times 1) - (4 \times 1) - (6 \times 2) - (7 \times 3) = -1017$$

## Conclusion

- In this case, when applying the **Complete Scoring Method©**, **Player B ranks higher**.
- The ranking based on stroke difference is reversed due to the number of OB and penalty strokes.
- The player with the **higher total score** is ranked above the other.
- Since each stroke difference creates an 18-point gap, when all other elements are equal, **10 OBs are sufficient to overturn a one-stroke advantage**.

# CASE (4)

To prevent the reversal phenomenon observed in Case 3, the Leverage Edition is introduced.

The multiplier applied to *Element 1*—the difference between the reference rate and the player's stroke count—is set to 72.

Case: Comparison between stroke scores 125 and 126, with the following OB and penalty counts

## Player A

Stroke: 125

Putts (PT): 45

OB: 10

Penalty: 1

Birdies: 0

Pars: 1

Bogeys: 4

Double Bogeys: 6

Triple Bogeys: 7

$$(72-125) \times 72 + (36-45) - (10 \times 2) - (1 \times 1) + (0 \times 2) + (1 \times 1) - (4 \times 1) - (6 \times 2) - (7 \times 3) = -3882$$

## Player B

Stroke: 126

Putts (PT): 45

OB: 0

Penalty: 0

Birdies: 0

Pars: 1

Bogeys: 4

Double Bogeys: 6

Triple Bogeys: 7

$$(72-126) \times 72 + (36-45) - (0 \times 2) - (0 \times 1) + (0 \times 2) + (1 \times 1) - (4 \times 1) - (6 \times 2) - (7 \times 3) = -3933$$

## Conclusion

When applying the **Complete Scoring Method© – Leverage Edition**, **Player A ranks higher**.

By increasing the multiplier in Element 1 to 72, the probability that a ranking determined by stroke count is reversed due to OB or penalty strokes becomes significantly lower.

The player with the **higher total score** is ranked above the other.

# Roadmap①

2026

□ Early April – Late June

1.Preparations for establishing corporations aimed at organizing and operating league-format golf tournaments adopting the Complete Method©and Complete Scoring Method©:Japan Golf League Federation (General Incorporated Association)Japan Ladies Professional Golf League Federation (General Incorporated Association)Japan Professional Golf League Federation (General Incorporated Association)

2.Activities toward competitive adoption Promotion and introduction efforts directed to:Tohoku Golf Federation Kanto Golf Federation Chubu Golf Federation Kansai Golf Federation Chugoku Golf Federation Shikoku Golf Federation Kyushu Golf Federation and golf federations or golf associations in each prefecture. Activities toward adoption at golf courses Updating the aggregation logic of cart-navigation systems and collecting score data Displaying rankings on the Golf League Federation’s official website

□ Early July – Late September

Recording of golf television programs using the same rules: League-format golf tournaments Verification matches (JLPGA professionals vs. “Declaration Professionals”)

□ Around October

Broadcast of the golf programs produced using the same rules is scheduled.

# Roadmap②

## ☐ Around November

Report the domestic progress to the **United States Golf Association (USGA)**.

## ☐ Around December

Report the results of discussions with the USGA to **The R&A**, and propose the international adoption of the **Complete Method©** and **Complete Scoring Method©**.

2027

## ☐ Mid-April – Late June

Promotion activities in major countries for the adoption of the **Complete Method©** and **Complete Scoring Method©**.

## ☐ Early July – Late September

Begin discussions with the **Japanese Olympic Committee (JOC)**. Propose the introduction of the methods to the **IOC** and **IGF** for use in the Olympic Games.

# Copyright

- All content contained in this document constitutes intellectual property owned exclusively by our company.
- *Complete Method*© and *Complete Scoring Method*© are the copyrights and intellectual property of our company.
- *Complete Method*© and *Complete Scoring Method*© (English titles) are internationally protected copyrights and intellectual property of our company.
- Use of these materials requires a license agreement with our company regarding copyright permissions (*see note below*).
- Any partial modification of the rules may constitute an infringement of copyright or moral rights and may result in legal action.
- Any contract executed through third-party impersonation is invalid, as such conduct constitutes a violation of moral rights under copyright law.
- Entering into a contract with a third party while knowingly using an imitation is illegal.
- Legal action will be taken against any infringement of copyright or moral rights.

## Note

Under Japanese law, copyright is governed by the Copyright Act, which adopts the *no-formalities principle*, meaning that copyright arises automatically at the moment of creation and does not require registration. This principle is shared by all Berne Convention member countries, including Japan. Accordingly, any imitation created after the original work constitutes copyright infringement and is subject to the penalties set forth in Article 119 of the Copyright Act.

In addition to copyright, we are also proceeding with trademark registration as another form of intellectual property protection. Trademarks serve to strengthen legal protection in commercial transactions. Commercial use is permitted even before trademark registration is completed, provided that a copyright license agreement (*see above*) has been executed; therefore, no issues arise in this regard.

During the trademark registration process, trademark examination includes checks for potential copyright violations and other legal conflicts.

**If you become aware of any third-party impersonation, please notify us immediately.**

# Comparison Between the Complete Scoring Method© (CSM) and the Stableford System, and an Explanation of Copyright (Intellectual Property) Risks

## Comparison with the Stableford System

The Stableford system, devised in 1898 by Dr. Frank Stableford, is a globally recognized point-based scoring format.

Comparison Item	Stableford System
<b>Basic Concept</b>	Designed to prevent players from being eliminated due to one or two disastrous holes, encouraging aggressive play. CSM, by contrast, evaluates not only stroke count but also technical precision (putting, OB, penalties, etc.) through a multi-dimensional scoring structure.
<b>Unit of Calculation</b>	Converts each hole's score relative to par into points.
<b>Evaluation Range</b>	Scores worse than bogey are uniformly assigned 0 points (or negative points), meaning lower-level performance is truncated. In CSM, all errors—including OB count, bunker shots, and the number of +4 or worse holes—are fully quantified.
<b>Possibility of Comebacks</b>	Because the impact of disastrous holes is limited, the risk of aiming for birdies is more easily rewarded. In the CSM Leverage Edition, stroke differences remain highly weighted while providing extremely strong tie-breaking capability when stroke counts are equal.

## Copyright (Intellectual Property) Infringement Assessment

When operating or using the **Complete Scoring Method**®, the following three points are essential for assessing infringement risks.

### ① Infringement of Existing Rules (e.g., Stableford)

**Conclusion:** The likelihood of infringing existing systems such as the Stableford method is *extremely low*.

**Reason:** Golf scoring formats—including the Stableford system—were created more than 100 years ago and have entered the **public domain**. Furthermore, the rules of sports are generally considered **ideas**, which are not protected by copyright.

### ② Copyright Protection Unique to CSM

Your company's **Complete Scoring Method**® is not merely a “point-based idea.” It is a structured **creative work**, consisting of:

Specific calculation formulas

Unique weighting (additive and subtractive scoring elements)

A hierarchical ranking algorithm

This qualifies as a **copyrighted work** (editorial work or algorithmic program). Under the Berne Convention's *no-formalities principle*, copyright arises automatically at the moment of creation. As stated in your documentation, unauthorized modification or imitation constitutes copyright infringement.

### ③ Legal Framework for Avoiding Infringement

If a third party attempts to introduce a similar system, the following criteria determine infringement:

**Dependence (Copying):** Whether the party created their system with knowledge of CSM.

**Substantial Similarity:** Whether the combination of formulas and evaluation elements (e.g., multiplying stroke differences by 18, adding/subtracting putts and OB counts) is essentially identical.

### Unique Advantages of the Leverage Edition

The **Leverage Edition** incorporates a unique logic— “maintaining the weight of stroke count while enabling precise tie-breaking” — such as the use of a **multiplier of 72**.

This structure is fundamentally different from traditional point-based systems like Stableford and constitutes a **creative expression** protected by copyright.

## Summary

**Comparison with Stableford:** CSM is a modern, highly detailed scoring system that reflects a player's technical performance with far greater precision.

**Copyright Infringement:** There is no infringement of existing public-domain golf rules. However, unauthorized use of CSM's formulas or scoring structure constitutes infringement of your company's copyright and intellectual property under the Berne Convention.

**Business Protection:** As stated in your documentation, requiring a **license agreement** is an effective and legally sound deterrent against imitation.

## Risk Assessment Results

✓ Risk of infringing other scoring systems: None

Existing scoring formats are in the public domain, and CSM employs a clearly distinct and original calculation framework. Therefore, there is no risk of infringing the rights of other systems.

✓ Protection of rights: Effective

If a third party uses the CSM calculation formulas (e.g.,  $\text{strokes} \times 18 + (36 - \text{putts})$ , etc.)

without authorization in software or tournament operations, your company is in a position to demand injunctive relief or licensing fees on the grounds of copyright infringement. Future legal risk control When presenting the system to The R&A, the USGA, or golf courses considering adoption, you can fully control legal risks by clearly stating—together with your licensing policy—that: “This scoring system was independently developed by SGR LLC and is a copyrighted work protected under international treaties.” This establishes a strong legal foundation and serves as an effective deterrent against unauthorized use or imitation.

## 【Contact Information】

SGR LLC – General Inquiry Desk

Email: [contact@sgr-llc.jp](mailto:contact@sgr-llc.jp)

## 【Inquiry Form】

Business Category: Other

Business Details: Sports-related

URL: <https://sgr-llc.jp/contact/>